



## Background

Children need to learn:

- concrete words (table, ice cream, kiss)
- abstract words (time, copula, love)
  - Language scaffolds learning abstract words<sup>1</sup>

Children born deaf or blind must also learn words less perceptible to them than to others:

- e.g. see/blue for blind children
- e.g. hear/noisy for deaf children

Does access to *perceptual* and *linguistic* information influence the learnability of perceptible vs. imperceptible words?

### Participants English Expressive Age Group N (mo.) Vocab. Blind 1-680 14-57 severe-to-profound 35 M: 31.7 M: 184 visual impairment 16-30 M: 1-680 Sighted Matches<sup>o</sup> 35 M: 184 23.4 Deaf severe-to-profound 1-680 14-49 20 M: 33.9 hearing loss; M: 317 cochlear implant 1-680 17-30 Hearing Matches<sup>o</sup> M: 317 M: 23.7 American Sign Language (ASL) Expressive Ν Age Group Vocab. Deaf 1-486 9-36 ASL from birth from 103 M: 24.6 M: 168 Deaf parents\* <sup>o</sup> Data from *Wordbank*<sup>3</sup> \*Data from Caselli, Lieberman, & Pyers, 2020<sup>4</sup>

## Methods

- American Eng. Words & Sentences form<sup>4</sup> • ASL CDI 2.0<sup>3</sup>
- Parent-report checklist of child's vocab.

Sense

Visual (10)

Auditory (1

Aperceptua

America

Sense

Visual (10)

Auditory (2 **Bonus Audi** 

Aperceptua

\*We felt these words were a "stretch" to call auditory, since many can be experienced visually or tactilely.

Analyses

- Mixed effect logistic regression models predicting likelihood of word production: •Word sense (visual, auditory, aperceptual) •Group (Blind & sighted matches;
- Deaf-English & hearing matches; Deaf-ASL) •Child age
- ASL: ASL-LEX Native signer freq. ratings<sup>6</sup>) ASL: ASL-LEX phonological complexity<sup>7</sup>)
- •Word frequency (English: CHILDES<sup>5</sup> counts; •**Production difficulty** (English: # syllables; Random effect for participant

References

<sup>1</sup>Vigliocco, Ponari, & Norbury, 2018; <sup>2</sup>Frank et al., 2016; <sup>3</sup>Caselli, Lieberman, & Pyers, 2020; <sup>4</sup>Fenson et al., 2007; <sup>5</sup>MacWhinney, 2000 <sup>6</sup>Caselli, Sevcikova Sehyr, Cohen-Goldberg, & Emmorey, 2016; <sup>7</sup>Sevcikova Sehyr., Caselli, Emmorey, & Cohen-Goldberg, 2021

# Acquisition of Perceptual Words by Young Children with **Congenital Sensory Impairments**

Erin Campbell, Molly Cooke, Derek Houston, Elika Bergelson

Results

- Communicative Development
- Inventory (CDI)
- Analyzed production of words with varying perceptual content:

English (30 out of 680 words)	
	Word List
	black, blue, brown, dark, green, look, red, see, white, yellow
LO)	cockadoodledoo, grrr, hear, listen, loud, meow, moo, noisy, quiet, vroom
<b>al</b> (10)	bad, careful, good, fine, finish, love, nice, pretend, think, wait
n Sign Language (22/28* out of 533 words)	
	Word List (English gloss)
	black, blue, brown, dark, green, look for, red, see, white, yellow
2)	hear, hearing
<b>itory*</b> (8)	burp, deaf, ear, hear, hearing, hearing aid, radio, talk
<b>al</b> (10)	careful, don't like, fine, good, like, love, nice, think, wait, want

but not other word types than hearing participants

Significant predictors bolded in model formulas below.



## **Discussion and Future Directions**

• Deaf-English vs. Deaf-ASL group: • Why the difference for aperceptual words? • Possible ToM differences due to language access

Sensory ability and early language experience drive word production for perceptible & imperceptible words



• Do children's semantic representations for these words differ? • Measuring perceptual information in parental language input: • What sensory information is available to children via language? • Does this vary by language modality and sensory ability?

NSF GRFP (2019274952) and Paula Menyuk Award to EC; NSF CAREER (BCS-1844710) to EB